

S.M.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,328	11/19/2001	Bryan Bergeron	8100.01	6056

7590 11/25/2002

Shawn D. Sentilles, Esq.  
WYATT, TARRANT & COMBS, LLP  
1715 AARON BRENNER DRIVE  
SUITE 800  
MEMPHIS, TN 38120-4367

EXAMINER

CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3727

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/992,328

Applicant(s)

BERGERON, BRYAN

Examiner

Stephen J. Castellano

Art Unit

3727

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                      4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_.
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                      5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_.
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 3727

The finality of the last office action, paper No. 7 has been withdrawn.

The election of species requirement has been withdrawn, the method-product restriction has not been withdrawn, claims 2, 3 and 6-10 have been reinstated as being directed to the elected invention, the objections to the drawings as containing new matter have been withdrawn and the formal drawings have been entered. However, claims 3 and 8-10 are still objected to as not being supported by the drawings.

Claims 11-17 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 4.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the flow channel extending along an outer surface of the fluid diverter member as stated in claims 3 and 8 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 3 and 8-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 3 and 8 recites structure pertaining to the flow channel extending along an outer surface of the fluid diverter member which has not been shown in the drawings. Appropriate correction is required. Appropriate correction may include the cancellation of the claim(s) or the removal of the objectionable portion of the claim(s). Do not submit new matter.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3727

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 3 and 8 recite a flow channel extending lengthwise along as outer surface. The only flow channels designated in the specification by reference sign 12 are substantially inner upper surfaces.

**Note that the claim rejection, the claim objection and the drawing objection can be resolved by changing the word “outer” in line 2 of claim 3 to “inner” or “upper” and by changing the word “outer” in line 12 of claim 8 to “inner” or “upper.”**

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neville and Dixon, Sr. et al. (Dixon).

Neville discloses a drinking container which has a structure capable of assisting a disabled person by reducing the degree of tilt necessary to drain fluid from the container because the container includes a sloped or tapered side wall, the container comprises a fluid holding vessel and a lid, the vessel includes an upper rim having an opening through at least one sipping region (22, 56), a fluid diverter member (tapered side wall) contiguous with an inner surface and

Art Unit: 3727

bottom of the vessel, the diverter member sloping downwardly substantially from the sipping region toward an opposing side of the vessel such that when the vessel is pivoted upward about the sipping region, the diverter member compels fluid through the sipping region, the diverter is wedged shaped (see portion 28), a flow channel (upper portion of side wall near 28) extends lengthwise along an outer surface of the fluid diverter member (as the upper inner surface of portion 28 extends outwardly to the degree that the upper inner surfaces of flow channels 12 of Fig. 1C, 2B, 3B do), an extension spout is formed by the uppermost portions of the portion 28, the lid is removable and seals the upper rim, the spout is configured to extend the fluid diverter member upward and outward at 54 to thereby assist the diverter member in compelling liquid fluid through the sipping region.

Dixon discloses a drinking container which has a structure capable of assisting a disabled person by reducing the degree of tilt necessary to drain fluid from the container because the container includes a sloped or tapered side wall, the container comprises a fluid holding vessel and a lid, the vessel includes an upper rim having an opening through at least one sipping region (the upper rim), a fluid diverter member (tapered side wall) contiguous with an inner surface and bottom of the vessel, the diverter member sloping downwardly substantially from the sipping region toward an opposing side of the vessel such that when the vessel is pivoted upward about the sipping region, the diverter member compels fluid through the sipping region, the diverter is wedged shaped (pick a triangular, trapezoidal or any other wedge shaped portion of the side wall), a flow channel (upper portion of side wall) extends lengthwise along an outer surface of the fluid diverter member (as the upper inner surface of the side wall extends outwardly to the degree that the upper inner surfaces of flow channels 12 of Fig. 1C, 2B, 3B do, the lid is

Art Unit: 3727

removable and seals the upper rim, the spout (15, 15A) is configured to extend the fluid diverter member upward and outward to thereby assist the diverter member in compelling liquid fluid through the sipping region 15A.

Any portion of the substantially cylindrical outer wall can be grasped and thus defines one or more handles positioned at any point along the outer side wall surface.

Claims 1-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watson.

Watson discloses a wedged-shaped fluid diverter member (side wall and/or floor portion 18, 18' shown in Fig. 4 and 6) wherein upper extremities of the side wall are thicker than portions therebelow, the diverter member is contiguous with the inner surface and the bottom of the vessel, the diverter member sloping downwardly from the sipping region of the extension spout toward an opposing side of the vessel, a flow channel (side wall or floor portion 18, 18' shown in Fig. 4, 5 and 6) extending lengthwise along an outer surface (insofar as the outer surface is understood).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 5, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neville or Dixon in view of Rosen.

Neville and Dixon disclose the invention except for handles which extend outwardly from the outer surface of the vessel at 90 and 270 degree positions. Rosen teaches two handles

Art Unit: 3727


positioned substantially at 90 and 270 degree positions from an extension spout or sipping region. It would have been obvious to add the handles to improve the users grasp.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 703-308-1035.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

  
Stephen J. Castellano  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3727

sjc  
November 21, 2002